

Master of Arts in Educational Innovation, Technology, and Entrepreneurship



INTERNSHIP MANUAL

A Guide for MEITE

Graduate Students, Site-Based Supervisors, and Internship Providers

Updated Fall 2019

Dr. Todd Cherner *MEITE Program Director*

Table of Contents

| MEITE Program Overview | Page Number | |
|--|-------------|--|
| 1. MEITE Program Information Overview | 3 | |
| 2. MEITE Internship Experience Contacts | 3 | |
| 3. MEITE Program Overview | 3 3 3 | |
| 4. UNC Policy on Academic Integrity | 3 | |
| Information for Students, Sites & Site Supervisors | Page Number | |
| 1. Overview of MEITE Internship Component | 4 | |
| 2. Supporting Students in Selecting the Best Internship Site | 5 | |
| 3. Requirements for Being Eligible to Host a MEITE Interns | 5 | |
| 4. Clearances/Criminal Background Screening | 6 | |
| 5. Reporting Concerns | 6 | |
| 6. Roles and Responsibilities | 6 | |
| - Role of the MEITE Program Director | 6 | |
| - Role of Administrative Support Specialist | 6 | |
| - Role of the Internship Site Supervisor | 7 | |
| - Role of the Student | 7 | |
| 7. Incomplete Internship Policy | 8 | |
| 8. Premature Termination of the Internship | 8 | |
| 9. Accountability Measures | 8 | |
| 10. Grading Scale | 9 | |
| 11. Integration of the Internship into the MEITE Program | 9 | |
| Appendices | Page Number | |
| Appendix A – MEITE Internship Log | 10 | |
| Appendix B – MEITE Internship Evaluation Rubric | 11 | |

MEITE Program Information Overview

The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill programs are built on research-based best practices, provide early and authentic, field-based experiences, and engage students in collaborative inquiry. The internship provides students with opportunities to integrate theory and practice, to demonstrate skills in a structured, supportive environment, and to enhance their strengths and develop areas that need improvement. The internship experience is one of the most important components of the MEITE program, and students will work with the Program Director and Site-Based Internship Supervisor for a successful experience.

MEITE Internship Experience Contacts

Dr. Todd Cherner Clinical Assistant Professor MEITE Program Director

Email: tcherner@unc.edu Phone: 919.962.0132 Office: Peabody Hall, 201H Mrs. Tammy Siler Administrative Support Specialist Email: tsiler1@email.unc.edu

Phone: 919.843.4409 Office: Peabody Hall, Suite 201

MEITE Program Overview

The Master of Arts in Educational Innovation, Technology, and Entrepreneurship (MEITE) is a professional-degree program that prepares its graduates for careers in the educational technology field, both in the public and private sectors, and it is located on the University of North Carolina's Chapel Hill campus within the School of Education. Students from all backgrounds are welcomed to apply to the program, and MEITE enrolls students into either full-time or part-time cohorts that begin in August and January. MEITE is led by its own director and student advisor, and they work closely with the students to help ensure a positive, productive experience that prepares them to be tomorrow's educational technology leaders, innovators, and entrepreneurs.

UNC Policy on Academic Integrity

UNC - CH has had a student-administered honor system and judicial system for over 100 years. The system is the responsibility of students and is regulated and governed by them, but faculty share the responsibility. If you have questions about your responsibility under the honor code, please bring them to your instructor or consult with the office of the Dean of Students or the Instrument of Student Judicial Governance. This document, adopted by the Chancellor, the Faculty Council, and the Student Congress, contains all policies and procedures pertaining to the student honor system. Your full participation and observance of the honor code is expected. If you require further information on the definition of plagiarism, authorized vs. unauthorized collaboration, unauthorized materials, consequences of violations, or additional information on the Honor Code at UNC-CH, please visit http://honor.unc.edu or contact the Office of Student Conduct at 919-962-0805 or at jpa@unc.edu

Information for Students, Sites & Site Supervisors

Overview of MEITE Internship Component

Throughout UNC's <u>academic year</u> in which students are enrolled in the MEITE program, they are required to complete an internship. This internship will be coordinated and facilitated by the MEITE Program Director in collaboration with both the student who will be completing the internship and site-based internship supervisor who works at the internship location. Leading up to and during the first weeks of the program, each MEITE student will meet with the Program Director for an advising session. During that session, a discussion regarding where they would like to intern and what they wish to gain from that experience will take place. This information will be used to place the student at a site that is aligned to their interests.

While interning, students are to spend eight hours a week at their internship placement and contribute to its projects and initiatives. Students are free to complete the hours in one eight-hour block or two four-hour blocks per week. In all, students should spend 270 hours per academic year interning, and they are to use the log included in Appendix A to record those hours.

Students are not required to intern during official breaks in the academic schedule or national holidays. If there are additional days that conflict with the student's internship schedule due to religious, personal, or other situations, the student is to let both the MEITE Program Director and company-based internship supervisor know about the upcoming date with as much notice as possible (72 hours is the minimum amount of notification, unless it is an emergency). The following table further outlines examples of reasonable and inappropriate expectations of interns.

Reasonable Expectations

- Attending meetings, tradeshows, and events associated with the company
- Being placed on project teams that are building a product, developing a service, or another initiative as directed by the company
- Developing materials, both digital and hardcopy, that will be used by the company for marketing or another purpose
- Conducting market analyses and user testing for products and services developed by the company
- Attending and participating in brainstorming sessions, product development workshops, and similar happenings within the company
- Networking in the community on behalf of the company in professional settings

Inappropriate Uses of Time

- Doing routine errands that are not related to one of the company's initiatives
- Over-socializing in the workspace
- Working on coursework that does not pertain to the internship
- Being off-task (e.g., using social media for purposes not related to the company's initiatives)

Supporting Students in Selecting the Best Internship Site

The purpose of the MEITE internship is to provide students with an authentic opportunity to work with professionals in an area of interest within the field of educational technology. Students will work collaboratively with the Program Director to identify an internship placement. Examples of potential placements include technology startups, business accelerators and incubators, edtech companies, digital marketing firms, technology providers, offices of innovation, corporate training departments, curriculum development departments, textbook companies, schools, universities, makerspaces, community organizations, and research and development teams. Students are encouraged to share additional ideas for a potential internship placement with the Program Director. Students are discouraged from completing internships at sites where they are currently employed or already have membership or leadership roles. Exceptions to this policy can be made if students present compelling reasons with clear indication regarding how the learning experience will be different from typical duties to the Program Director.

As students consider potential internship placements, they are encouraged to do the following:

- 1. After the Program Director confirms that a placement is available, an email connecting the student to the site-based supervisor will be made. Students are encouraged to schedule a visit to the internship site, meet with the site-based supervisor and other employees, and tour the facility.
- 2. Students should explore any requisite skills required for the internship and ensure that they meet the sites' recommendations before the internship begins.
- 3. Students must report whether or not their internship will be paid.
- 4. Students should select sites that serve populations that they are interested in serving.

Students should select internship placements within a reasonable, safe distance for them to commute. Remote internship placements are a possibility, and they will require the Program Director's approval.

Requirements for Being Eligible to Host a MEITE Intern

The School of Education and the MEITE program are committed to providing students with high-quality internship placements. The institution enjoys long-standing, established relationships with many non-profit, for-profit, private, and public organizations in the Research Triangle. Sites are required to have clear mission and vision statements that are consistent with the School of Education, the MEITE program, and UNC – CH. To qualify as a placement site for internships, an organization must meet all of the following criteria:

- 1. Technology, innovation, and entrepreneurship are woven into the fabric of the company;
- 2. Respect client diversity in terms of age, ethnicity, culture, race, religion, sexual orientation, and socioeconomic status;
- 3. Not require the interning student to pay any out-of-the-pocket expenses to attend events, meetings, tradeshows, conferences, etc. at the request of the company; and,
- 4. Be located in the larger Research Triangle Parkway or have dependable internet service.

Clearances/Criminal Background Screening

Internship providers should follow their own rules about clearances and may dismiss a student or not allow a student to work if the clearance requirements are not met. Internship providers should also connect the intern to the human resources personnel who can assist with background checks and/or required testing. It is important that students are aware of these potential requirements before agreeing to the internship.

Reporting Concerns

Students who have concerns about their internship site should express them to the MEITE program director in a timely manner. The Director will assist students in addressing the concerns in a professional manner, with the goal of resolving the initial concerns.

Roles and Responsibilities

There are multiple stakeholders involved in the MEITE internship component. This section outlines the roles and responsibilities for each stakeholder.

Role of the MEITE Director. The MEITE Director has oversight of the MEITE program and the internship experience. The Program Director works collaboratively with students to help ensure an appropriate internship that matches their interests. Once in the internship, the Program Director works with the internship provider to support students while they intern. The Program Director also completes three site-based visits during the academic year, one in the beginning of the fall semester, one at the end of the fall semester, and the final one at the end of the spring semester. The internship will also be integrated into MEITE seminar courses through discussion and work examples from the internship. The Program Director also actively works to identify and confirm future internship opportunities throughout the year. Specific responsibilities include:

- 1. Be responsible for initially matching the students with potential internship opportunities, involving the internship provider in making the placement decision, and collaborating with the site-based supervisor in evaluating the student;
- 2. Schedule initial meetings with students and internship site supervisors to clarify any questions related to the internship;
- 3. Provide support to the students and internship site supervisors, as needed;
- 4. Assist in evaluating students and reporting that evaluation to students;
- 5. Share information concerning internship meetings, requirements, and additional guidelines in a timely manner;
- 6. Serve as the main liaison between the School of Education and the internship provider; and,
- 7. Be available to the internship site supervisor for consultation when requested.

Role of MEITE Program Advisor Support Specialist

The Administrative Support Specialist assists the Program Director with completing and organizing forms, registrations, and assessments associated with the internship.

Role of the Site-Based Internship Supervisor

The site-based internship supervisor is a pivotal member of the MEITE internship experience. This person is the main point of contact for the intern at the placement. This person must have a bachelor's degree, a minimum of five years of experience in the field, and have supervision and/or managerial responsibilities. Specific responsibilities for this person include:

- Inform the intern of all the requirements, testing, and checks needed to intern at this placement;
- Orient the student to the context of the internship placement and introduce him/her/they to the people who work there;
- Provide opportunities for the student to engage in work directly aligned to his/her/their interests:
- Assign tasks that align with expectations for a graduate-level student;
- Meet regularly with the student and provide individual guidance, support, and feedback;
- Provide the intern with supervision, training, and regular performance feedback;
- When feasible, facilitate the student's participation in staff/employee activities of a professional nature;
- Contact the MEITE Program Director regarding any concerns or to discuss ways the MEITE program may support the facilitation of the internship;
- Complete two evaluations of the intern per semester. The first evaluation will be survey-based with an option of a site visit. The second evaluation will be an in-person meeting where the Program Director, site-based supervisor, and student will complete a rubric-based evaluation.
- Alert the MEITE Program Director immediately of any challenges that the intern might be causing; and.
- Verify the completed Internship Log (Appendix A).

Role of the Student

The internship experience is a featured element of the MEITE program and interning at an innovative business in the Research Triangle is a privilege. Students are representing the University, School of Education, and MEITE Program, and they are expected to:

- Be attentive and engaged while at the internship;
- See the internship as an opportunity provided to learn and contribute;
- Take feedback from the site supervisor and additional personnel and use it to improve;
- Maintain a growth mindset that they can develop the skills, behaviors, and knowledge needed for success:
- Remain professional at all times, in behavior, preparedness, and appearance;
- Be on time and present both mentally and physically while at the internship;
- Dedicate eight hours per week to the internship placement;
- Complete all assigned tasks completely and at a high-quality; and,
- Complete the hours log that documents all internship hours (Appendix A);

Incomplete Internship Policy

Students who do not complete the minimum required hours will each meet with the MEITE Program Director. The student who explain why he/she/they did not complete the required hours. The Director will also contact the site-based supervisor for additional information. At this point, the Director will follow the Graduate School's policies for resolving this issue.

Premature Termination of the Internship

When a MEITE student's performance is considered substandard as reported by the site-based supervisor to the MEITE Program Director, it is the Director's responsibility to intervene. These situations will be handled by the MEITE Program Director, internship site coordinator, and/or the University of North Carolina Code of Conduct. Potential outcomes include suspending the student from the internship, changing the student's internship, and/or removal from the program. The student will have an opportunity to explain his/her/their actions before a final decision is made.

Accountability Measures

Intern supervision is a shared responsibility between the MEITE Program Director and the site-based intern supervisor. The student, MEITE Program Directors, and intern supervisor will meet three times during the academic year.

- 1. *Preliminary Meeting*: This meeting will occur during the first weeks of the internship where the Program Director will explain the expectations of the internship to the student and supervisor while fielding any questions they may have. This meeting is expected to last 30 minutes.
- 2. *Midpoint Survey*: Halfway through the semester, the site supervisor will be asked to complete a survey focusing on the student's strengths, areas for growth, and quality of work.
- 3. End of Fall Meeting: This meeting will be a review of the work completed by the student under the direction of the site-based supervisor, from August to December. The student will review the work that he/she/they completed while interning for the MEITE Program Director and supervisor. The student should expect follow-up questions and bring examples of his/her/their work to the meeting. Collaboratively, the student, company-based supervisor, and MEITE Program Director will complete a formative assessment of the student using the Internship Evaluation Rubric (Appendix B). This meeting should last for 45 minutes.
- 4. End of Spring Meeting: This meeting will be a review of the work completed by the student under the direction of the company-based supervisor, from January to May. The student will review the work that he/she/they completed while interning for the MEITE Program Director and supervisor. The student should expect follow-up questions and bring examples of his/her/their work to the meeting. Collaboratively, the student, company-based supervisor, and MEITE Program Director will complete a summative assessment of the student using the Internship Evaluation Rubric (Appendix B). This meeting should last for 45 minutes.

In addition to these meetings, MEITE students are required to add three artifacts with commentary to their professional portfolio website that document their work while interning. These artifacts may include projects, materials, analyses, or other samples of their work they completed while interning. The commentary that accompanies these artifacts in their professional portfolio needs to explain what the artifact is, their contributions to it, and what they learned by completing it.

Grading Scale

The final grade for the internship is determined based on the formative and summative evaluations of the student using the Internship Evaluation Rubric (Appendix B), the survey completed by the internship site coordinator at the midway point, and the three artifacts the student included to his/her/their professional portfolio website.

| High Pass | Pass | Low Pass | Fail |
|-----------|---------|----------|----------------|
| 100-95 | 94.9-80 | 79.9-70 | 69.9 and below |

Integration of Internship into the MEITE Program:

The internship is not a standalone component of the MEITE program; rather, it is be blended into the MEITE seminar courses. Students will be asked to share the work they have been engaging at their internship along with lessons, knowledge, and skills they have learned while and from interning.

Appendix A – MEITE Internship LogStudents are expected to keep the following log up to date. The first two entries are examples that students are to delete.

| Week | Hours Spent Interning | Description of Work Completed |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| 8/12 | | |
| | | document into eBook format for editor |
| 8/13 | 4 | Helped Carl edit video-based content for the eBook |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| By signing ti knowledge. | his log, I attest that the inforn | nation recorded in it is accurate to the best of my |
| MEITE Stuc | lent | Date |
| Intern Site S | upervisor | Date |
| MEITE Prog | gram Director | Date |

Appendix B – MEITE Internship Evaluation Rubric

Internship Evaluation Rubric

| Preparedness: The intern is knowledgeable of the different tasked assigned to him/her/them | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Exemplary – 3pts | Professional – 2.75 pts | Proficient – 2.5 pts | Emerging – 1.75 pts | Needs Improvement – 0 pts |
| The intern always | The intern usually | The intern usually | The intern engages | The intern has little |
| studies tasks | studies tasks | studies tasks | tasks without | to no mindfulness in |
| previous to | previous to | previous to | planning, and the | planning for tasks |
| engaging them, | engaging them, | engaging them, | outcomes | and the outcomes |
| develops a plan for | develops a plan for | develops ideas for | sometimes lead to | reflect that lack of |
| completing the | completing the | completing the | extra work. | planning. |
| tasks, and executes | tasks, and executes | tasks, and executes | | |
| that plan with a high | that plan with | that plan with mixed | | |
| degree of fidelity. | competency. | results. | | |
| | | ks as related to the requ | | |
| Exemplary – 3pts | Professional – 2.75 pts | Proficient – 2.5 pts | Emerging – 1.75 pts | Needs Improvement – 0 pts |
| The intern has pro- | The intern has pro- | The intern has | The intern has | The intern has |
| actively developed | actively developed | developed full | developed some | developed little to |
| expertise in the | expertise in the | competence in the | competence in the | no competence in |
| skills needed to | skills needed to | skills needed to | skills needed to | the skills needed to |
| complete an array of | complete an array | complete an array of | complete an array of | complete an array |
| tasks that are often | of tasks that are | tasks after being | tasks after being | of tasks after being |
| assigned and may | often assigned. | directed to by a | directed to by a | directed to by a |
| have supported co- | | supervisor. | supervisor. | supervisor. |
| workers in | | | | |
| acquiring those | | | | |
| skills. | | | | |
| | | ress himself/herself/ther | | 37 1 7 |
| Exemplary – 3pts | Professional – 2.75 pts | Proficient – 2.5 pts | Emerging – 1.75 pts | Needs Improvement – 0 pts |
| The intern is always | The intern is mostly | The intern is usually | The intern is | The intern has made |
| mindful about | mindful about | mindful about | developing | significant mistakes |
| his/her/their | his/her/their | his/her/their | mindfulness about | in his/her/their |
| language in digital | 1 | 4 44 4. 4 | | |
| 1.0 4.0 | language in digital | language in digital | his/her/their | communication |
| and face-to-face | and face-to-face | language in digital and face-to-face | his/her/their language in digital | communication practices, in either |
| and face-to-face communications, as | | | | |
| | and face-to-face | and face-to-face | language in digital | practices, in either |
| communications, as | and face-to-face communications, as | and face-to-face communications | language in digital and face-to-face | practices, in either or both face-to-face |
| communications, as demonstrated by the | and face-to-face communications, as demonstrated by the | and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional | language in digital and face-to-face communications | practices, in either or both face-to-face and digital |
| communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional | and face-to-face communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional | and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength- | language in digital and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional | practices, in either or both face-to-face and digital communications. |
| communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength- | and face-to-face communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength- | and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength- based language, | language in digital and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength- | practices, in either or both face-to-face and digital communications. These mistakes |
| communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength- based language, | and face-to-face communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength- based language, | and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength- based language, grammar, and | language in digital and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength- based language, | practices, in either or both face-to-face and digital communications. These mistakes have resulted in |
| communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength- based language, grammar, and | and face-to-face communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength- based language, grammar, and | and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength- based language, grammar, and syntax), but there | language in digital and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength- based language, grammar, and | practices, in either or both face-to-face and digital communications. These mistakes have resulted in extra work, hurt |
| communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength- based language, grammar, and syntax. | and face-to-face communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax. | and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax), but there have been minor miscommunications. | language in digital and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength- based language, grammar, and syntax); however, there were clear miscommunications. | practices, in either or both face-to-face and digital communications. These mistakes have resulted in extra work, hurt feelings, and other |
| communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax. Collaboration: The i | and face-to-face communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax. | and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax), but there have been minor miscommunications. | language in digital and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax); however, there were clear miscommunications. | practices, in either or both face-to-face and digital communications. These mistakes have resulted in extra work, hurt feelings, and other negative outcomes. |
| communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength- based language, grammar, and syntax. | and face-to-face communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax. tern's ability to work i | and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax), but there have been minor miscommunications. n a group setting/enviro Proficient – 2.5 pts | language in digital and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength- based language, grammar, and syntax); however, there were clear miscommunications. | practices, in either or both face-to-face and digital communications. These mistakes have resulted in extra work, hurt feelings, and other negative outcomes. Needs Improvement – 0 pts |
| communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax. Collaboration: The i | and face-to-face communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax. tern's ability to work i Professional – 2.75 pts The intern is usually | and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax), but there have been minor miscommunications. n a group setting/enviro Proficient – 2.5 pts The intern is usually | language in digital and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax); however, there were clear miscommunications. Important of the communication of the communic | practices, in either or both face-to-face and digital communications. These mistakes have resulted in extra work, hurt feelings, and other negative outcomes. |
| communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax. Collaboration: The internal Exemplary – 3pts The internal is consistently able to | and face-to-face communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax. ntern's ability to work i Professional – 2.75 pts The intern is usually able to share ideas, | and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax), but there have been minor miscommunications. n a group setting/environ Proficient – 2.5 pts The intern is usually able to share ideas, | language in digital and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax); however, there were clear miscommunications. The intern sometimes shares | practices, in either or both face-to-face and digital communications. These mistakes have resulted in extra work, hurt feelings, and other negative outcomes. Needs Improvement – 0 pts The intern has been unreceptive to |
| communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax. Collaboration: The i Exemplary – 3pts The intern is | and face-to-face communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax. tern's ability to work i Professional – 2.75 pts The intern is usually | and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax), but there have been minor miscommunications. n a group setting/enviro Proficient – 2.5 pts The intern is usually | language in digital and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax); however, there were clear miscommunications. Important of the communication of the communic | practices, in either or both face-to-face and digital communications. These mistakes have resulted in extra work, hurt feelings, and other negative outcomes. Needs Improvement – 0 pts The intern has been |
| communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax. Collaboration: The internal Exemplary – 3pts The internal is consistently able to | and face-to-face communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax. Intern's ability to work in the professional - 2.75 pts The intern is usually able to share ideas, receive and provide constructive | and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax), but there have been minor miscommunications. n a group setting/enviro Proficient – 2.5 pts The intern is usually able to share ideas, receive and provide constructive | language in digital and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax); however, there were clear miscommunications. The intern sometimes shares | practices, in either or both face-to-face and digital communications. These mistakes have resulted in extra work, hurt feelings, and other negative outcomes. Needs Improvement – 0 pts The intern has been unreceptive to |
| communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax. Collaboration: The intermise consistently able to share ideas, receive | and face-to-face communications, as demonstrated by the use of professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax. Intern's ability to work in the professional - 2.75 pts The intern is usually able to share ideas, receive and provide | and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax), but there have been minor miscommunications. n a group setting/enviro Proficient – 2.5 pts The intern is usually able to share ideas, receive and provide | language in digital and face-to-face communications (e.g., professional jargon, strength-based language, grammar, and syntax); however, there were clear miscommunications. The intern sometimes shares ideas, receives and | practices, in either or both face-to-face and digital communications. These mistakes have resulted in extra work, hurt feelings, and other negative outcomes. Needs Improvement – 0 pts The intern has been unreceptive to receiving and |

| | | | T., | I |
|-----------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| "team" player while | maintaining a | maintaining a | "team" player while | his/her/their |
| maintaining a | positive disposition. | positive disposition, | usually maintaining | negative disposition |
| positive disposition. | | though there have | a positive | impacted team |
| D 11:11:4 771 : | | been some gaps. | disposition. | dynamics. |
| | | the work assigned to h | | λΙ 1- Ι |
| Exemplary – 3pts | Professional – 2.75 pts | Proficient – 2.5 pts | Emerging – 1.75 pts | Needs Improvement – 0 pts |
| The intern is highly | The intern is mostly | The intern has | There are some gaps | There are |
| reliable as | reliable as | demonstrated | in the intern's | significant gaps in |
| demonstrated | demonstrated | accountability | accountability for | the intern's |
| through his/her/their | through his/her/their | through his/her/their | his/her/their work, | accountability for |
| responsiveness to | responsiveness to | responsiveness to | as demonstrated in | his/her/their work, |
| emails, completion | emails, completion | emails, completion | time lapses in their | as demonstrated in |
| of "on-time" | of "on-time" | of "on-time" | email responses, | time lapses in |
| deliverables, and | deliverables, and | deliverables, but at | lack of producing | his/her/their email |
| takes full- | takes full- | times does not take | "on-time" | responses, lack of |
| responsibility for | responsibility for | full-responsibility | deliverables, and/or | producing "on- |
| his/her/their work. | his/her/their work. | for his/her/their | take responsibility | time" deliverables, |
| | | work. | for his/her/their | and/or take |
| | | | work. | responsibility for |
| | | | | his/her/their work. |
| | | e when completing task | | |
| Exemplary – 3pts | Professional – 2.75 | Proficient – 2.5 pts | Emerging – 1.75 pts | Needs Improvement |
| T1 : 4 : 1 | pts : 11 | TI : 1 | TTI : 4 C | <u>- 0 pts</u> |
| The intern is nearly | The intern is usually | The intern has | The intern prefers | The intern has |
| always creative in | creative in | demonstrated the | standard approaches | demonstrated some |
| his/her/their | his/her/their | ability to be creative | to problem-solving, | close-mindedness |
| approach to | approach to | in his/her/their | strategizing, and | when there are |
| problem-solving, | problem-solving, | approach to | completing tasks, | opportunities to be |
| strategizing, and | strategizing, and | problem-solving, | and does not deeply | creative and has a |
| completing tasks | completing tasks | strategizing, and | engage in "out of | strong preference |
| that require "out of | that require "out of | completing tasks | the box" thinking | for standardized |
| the box" thinking. | the box" thinking. | that require "out of | when creative | approaches to the |
| | | the box" thinking, | opportunities arise. | point where |
| | | though he/she/they | | he/she/they does not |
| | | is not consistently | | consider |
| D C 11 TI | 1:1: 1 | creative. | 1 1 | alternatives. |
| Exemplary – 3pts | Professional 2.75 | Drafiniont 2.5 mg | ance, decorum, languag | e, and in other ways |
| Exemplary – Spis | Professional – 2.75 | Proficient – 2.5 pts | Emerging – 1.75 pts | Needs Improvement – 0 pts |
| The intern is | The intern is usually | The intern is usually | The intern is not as | The intern is |
| consistently mindful | mindful about | mindful about | mindful as | lacking |
| about his/her/their | his/her/their | his/her/their | he/she/they should | professionalism in |
| presence in the | presence in the | presence in the work | be about their | the workspace as |
| work space, | work space, | space, including | presence in the work | demonstrated by |
| including their | including their | their interactions | space, including | his/her/their |
| interactions with | interactions with | with other people, | their interactions | interactions with |
| other people, their | other people, their | their mental and | with other people, | other people, lack of |
| mental and physical | mental and physical | physical | their mental and | physical and mental |
| attentiveness, dress, | attentiveness, dress, | attentiveness, dress, | physical | attentiveness, and |
| and other | and other | and other | attentiveness, and | other behaviors that |
| considerations that | considerations that | considerations that | dress. There has | |
| | | | been at least one | have severely disrupted the work |
| bespeak a | bespeak a | bespeak a professional, though | | |
| professional. | professional. | there have been 1-3 | significant | space multiple times. |
| | | mere nave been 1-3 | | umes. |

| | | instances that are | disruption in the | | | |
|----------------------|---|-------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|--|--|
| | | not professional. | work space. | | | |
| Docion Thinking Driv | nainless The internies ab | 1 | | l vainta(a) maadad ta | | |
| | Design Thinking Principles: The intern's ability to use the appropriate design thinking principle(s) needed to | | | | | |
| - | complete a task | | | | | |
| Exemplary – 3pts | Professional – 2.75 | Proficient – 2.5 pts | Emerging – 1.75 pts | Needs Improvement | | |
| | pts | | | - 0 pts | | |
| The intern has a | The intern has a | The intern has a | The intern has an | The intern has little | | |
| deep understanding | general | general | emerging | understanding of the | | |
| of the Design | understanding of the | understanding of the | understanding of the | Design Thinking | | |
| Thinking Principles | Design Thinking | Design Thinking | Design Thinking | Principles and is not | | |
| and is able to apply | Principles and is | Principles and is | Principles and is | able to apply them | | |
| them efficiently and | able to apply them | mostly able to apply | able to apply them | when analyzing | | |
| effectively when | efficiently and | them when | when analyzing | problems and | | |
| analyzing problems | effectively when | analyzing problems | problems and | developing | | |
| and developing | analyzing problems | and developing | developing | responses to it. | | |
| responses to it. | and developing | responses to it. | responses to it with | | | |
| respenses to in | responses to it. | responses to in | support. | | | |
| Overall Performance | e: A holistic rating of the | ne intern's performance | 1 FF | | | |
| Exemplary – 3pts | Professional – 2.75 | Proficient – 2.5 pts | Emerging – 1.75 pts | Needs Improvement | | |
| 1 7 1 | pts | · · | 3 3 I | -0 pts | | |
| The intern is | The intern is | The intern is | The intern needs | The intern has | | |
| excelling and | performing at the | performing at the | mentoring and | significant gaps in | | |
| performing at the | levels expected of a | levels expected of a | clearly possesses the | his/her/their | | |
| levels expected of | person who has | new hire. | attributes needed for | abilities and there | | |
| an established | been working in the | | advancing in the | are major concerns | | |
| professional. | field for 1-3 years. | | profession with | with the intern. | | |
| | • | | more time, | | | |
| | | | experience, and | | | |
| | | | guidance. | | | |